RG104 E-1 Box 162

## Treasury Department,

BUREAU OF THE MINT,

Washington, D. C., March 26th, 1890.

O. C. Bosbyshell, Esq.,

Superintendent, U. S. Mint,

Philadelphia, Penna.

Sir:

Referring to your letter of the 22nd instant relative to certain coin balances used in the adjustment of blanks, I would say that I know of no authority of law by which the United States can pay a royalty. If any royalty was paid heretofore it must have been paid by a private firm and charged in the bill for the scales. You say "The royalty heretofore paid was \$5.". I do not find that any royalty has been paid by the Government unless it was charged in the price of the scales.

So far as the balances made by the mint employes are concerned, the claim for royalty is one which should be presented to the courts or to Congress.

So far as the scales furnished by Mr. Troemner are concerned, for which you say no royalty was paid, the claim for royalty should be against Mr. Troemner.

Respectfully yours,

Director of the Mint.

Treasury Department, Bureau of the Mint, Washington, D.C., March 26, 1890.

O.C. Bosbyshell, Esq., Superintendent, U.S. Mint, Philadelphia, Penna.

Sir:

Referring to your letter of the 22nd instant relative to certain coin balances used in the adjustment of blanks, I would say that I know of no authority of law by which the United States can pay a royalty. If any royalty was paid heretofore it must have been paid by a private firm and charged in the bill for scales. You say "The royalty heretofore paid with \$5". I do not find that any royalty has been paid by the Government unless it was charged in the price of the scales.

So far as the balances made by the mint employees are concerned, the claim for royalty is one which should be presented to the courts or to Congress.

So far as the scales furnished by Mr. Troemner are concerned, for which you say no royalty was paid, the claim for royalty should be against Mr. Troemner.

Respectfully yours, E.O. Leech Director of the Mint.